

# Financial Institutions Climate Change Survey

Active Engagement



**FEBRUARY 2021**

Marketing communication



This document is for informational purposes only and may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or for any reason. This document is general market research prepared by group Candriam and does not present information about investment strategies or funds that Candriam offers to clients and investors in any jurisdiction.

The contents of this document have not been reviewed or endorsed by any regulatory authority, including the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC").

Please exercise caution in relation to this document. This document is not intended to identify all the risks associated with an investment in any of the investment strategies presented. Additional information about investment risks is available in the Form ADV Part 2A brochure of the relevant Candriam group manager. No investment should be made based on this document. Candriam group does not provide accounting, legal or tax advice. The information contained herein is true as of the date above and is subject to change. Candriam group shall not be under any obligation to update this information.

# The topic.

The impacts of Climate Change on the financial sector are already measured in the billions, just for extreme weather events. Add in other elements such as credit risks for banks, and delays in tackling climate change could cost financial companies up to \$1.2 trillion over the next 15 years.<sup>1</sup>




We see two main dimensions of Climate Change risk to financial companies: Transition Risks and Physical Risks. The *Transition* to a lower-carbon economy means entire industries such as power generation, and oil and gas, are susceptible to stricter regulation, disruptive technologies and changes in customer behavior. While banks and insurance companies are a major source of funding for fossil fuel projects, climate change has not historically played a role in their credit analysis of and capital allocation to fossil fuel and extractive industries. *Transition* risks of exposure to these assets is difficult to assess, along with the climate change risks to the financial firms. *Physical* risks include increased weather-related insurance claims and payouts for insurers, and increased premiums for consumers, and stranded assets for banks.

## The story thus far

The 2015 Paris Agreement marked a turning point in the relationship between financial firms and ESG regulation.<sup>2</sup> Providers of capital are under increasing pressure, some of it regulatory, to demonstrate both their own sustainability and how they incorporate 'green finance' in their decisions to make capital available to clients.<sup>3</sup>

Initiatives have emerged to improve climate transparency in bank lending portfolios. The most important, the 2019 *UNEP Principles for Responsible Banking*, is a coalition of 130 global financial firms who are developing open-source measurement methods and tools to "provide the much-needed framework for the sustainable banking system of the future".<sup>4</sup> Their first follow-up is expected by the end of 2021.

Figure 1: Initiatives for Better Climate Change Practices in the Financial Sector



**Promises made: What has been the result on fossil fuels exposure ?**

However, since the Paris Agreement came into force in 2015, bank lending for fossil fuels has increased by almost \$2 trillion, in sharp contrast to the pledges made.<sup>1</sup>

Candriam has engaged with banks and insurance companies to determine whether these public statements of intent have begun to improve disclosure and practices. We also analysed whether those financial firms which were not involved in these initiatives exhibited below-average disclosure, weaker climate policies, and worse fossil fuel exposure.


Our climate-oriented engagement campaign, launched in the second half of 2019, yielded responses from 33 financial institutions -- 27 banks and six insurers. We incorporated issues and findings from NGOs such as *Banking on Climate Change*<sup>5</sup> and from collaborative initiatives with other investors, such as CDP's *Climate Change Reports*, and ShareAction's *Banking on a Low-Carbon Future*.

Our topics included two main categories -- the methodology used to assess exposure to activities contributing to GHG emissions, and the corresponding risk mitigation approach used for their portfolios.

Most investors agree on the need for improved extra-financial disclosure. With TCFD<sup>3</sup> recommendations in mind, we reviewed coal and fossil fuel exposure and dialogued with issuers on their exposure to Physical risks such as unanticipated payouts for fires, floods, etc. We discussed their approaches to project financing, environmental performance-conditioned lending and insurance, and client support for Transition to a low carbon economy (particularly for their clients in the agricultural and extractive industries).

We developed a Comprehensive Scoring System encompassing each company's transparency and the policy put in place. Where available (20 of the companies), we compared our Comprehensive Score to their level of risk exposure based on the *Banking on Climate Change Report 2020*.<sup>5</sup> While some companies were critical of the NGO's methodology, none chose to provide any adjusted data.

**Figure 2: Comprehensive score compared to fossil fuel financing since 2015**



Source: Candriam, and Rainforest Action Network.


Several issuers increased funding for fossil fuels by more than \$50 billion each since Paris Agreement. Within this group, eight also scored poorly in our Comprehensive Score. Of these eight High-Risk issuers, shown in **red**, five declined to respond to our questions. This shows a strong correlation between declining to join the most advanced finance industry initiatives, and suffering from poor environmental policies and practices.

Only one of the issuers judged High Risk by our Candriam Comprehensive score was a signatory of the finance industry climate initiatives. This demonstrates that the companies which make strong public commitment are at least heading in a more positive direction.

Further evidence of the benefit of these initiatives is that the five banks in one of the early initiatives, the 2018 Katowice Commitment, shown in **green**, have reduced their financing of fossil fuels since the 2015 Paris Agreement. All five score quite well in our Candriam Comprehensive Score engagement results.

This reinforces our conviction that specific and individual engagement should be undertaken with selected issuers to raise awareness of the role that lending practices have on climate. In addition, we acknowledge that involvement in these leading initiatives is correlated with improved practices. With respect to reporting of lending and exposure to fossil fuel financing, there is also need for targeted actions including collaborative initiatives and direct engagement. Extra-financial reporting in general remains first hurdle to overcome, as demonstrated by several non-answers to questions we considered to be fundamental. The detailed picture of the symptoms is not yet available, even though we should already be in the 'treatment phase' of this disease.

On the insurance side, it is worth mentioning AXA, who we believe demonstrates 'best practice'. The insurer was not contacted because its public disclosure offered all the necessary information for our analysis.



## Next steps?

One year after the launch of our study and engagement program, many financial industry participants have moved forward in both their reporting and their practices in extending capital to fossil fuel companies.

Yet much remains to be done, and the pace of climate change does permit the luxury of time. By providing funding today, financial firms determine the world of tomorrow.

Candriam continues to commit to greater data transparency, to the effective financing of the energy transition, and the gradual ending of financing for fossil fuels. Following the successes by Investor groups such as IIGCC and CA100+ in effecting change at the largest GHG emitters -- notably at AGMs -- we have no doubt that the financial sector is next in the spotlight.

The constantly increasing scrutiny is evidenced by the 2020 shareholder resolutions received by financial companies such as Barclays, JPMorgan Chase, Toronto-Dominion Bank, Danske Bank, Topdanmark, National Australia Bank and Australia New Zealand Bank.

We expect this trend to accelerate, and Candriam intends to play an active role.

1 - Share Action. *Banking on a Low-Carbon Future: Finance in a Time of Climate Crisis*, 2019. <https://www.euractiv.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/11/Full-Report-Finance-in-a-time-of-climate-crisis-FV.pdf>, accessed 4 February, 2021. See also -- Share Action. *Banking on a Low-Carbon Future: Finance in a Time of Climate Crisis*, 2020. <https://shareaction.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/ShareAction-Banking-Report-2020.pdf>

2 - Compared to the IEA's central scenario (which incorporates the Paris INDCs, but is associated with 2.7°C warming), 2019-2030 capex on new oil projects is 83% lower in a 1.6°C scenario and 60% lower in a 1.7-1.8°C scenario. Carbon Tracker Initiative. *Breaking the Habit - Why non of the large oil companies are "Paris aligned", and what they need to do to get there*. September, 2019. <https://carbontracker.org/reports/breaking-the-habit/>, accessed 4 February, 2021.

3 - ESG regulation pertaining to the financial sector includes the Financial Stability Board (FSB) -- with the creation of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) -- the Prudential Regulatory Authority (PRA) and the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA).

4 - <https://www.unepfi.org/publications/principles-for-responsible-banking/>, accessed 4 February, 2021.

5 - Rainforest Action Network. *Banking on Climate Change, Fossil Fuel Finance Report*. 2020. <https://www.ran.org/bankingonclimatechange2020>, accessed 4 February, 2021. See also 2019 edition.

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This document has been prepared for prospective investors who are legally eligible and are suitable to invest in the type of investment described herein under the U.S. federal securities laws. Generally, they would include investors who are “accredited investors” within the meaning of Rule 501 under the Securities Act and “qualified purchasers” within the meaning of Section 2(a)(51) of the Investment Company Act. This material does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. These materials have been prepared by Candriam group and provided solely for informational purposes; they may not be relied upon in evaluating the merits of investing in any particular strategy to which this document relates. All information in this document is subject to and qualified in its entirety by reference to the more detailed information appearing in our Form ADV Part 2A brochure (the “Brochure”) and the associated agreement, investment guidelines, and other documents associated with establishing an investment account as our client (the “Confidential Documents”).

An offer or solicitation of an investment will only be made pursuant to the Confidential Documents and only the information in the Brochure and the Confidential Documents should be relied upon when making an investment decision.

This document is not intended to provide an investment recommendation and should not be relied upon for accounting, legal or tax advice. The recipient should consult with its accounting, legal or tax advisor about the issues discussed herein. The recipient should review carefully the Brochure and the Confidential Documents before entering into any advisory relationship with Group Candriam and considering any investment recommendation we may make upon becoming our client. These materials do not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities.

Candriam believes that the information provided herein is reliable, but does not guarantee its accuracy or completeness. Certain information contained herein has been obtained from published and non-published sources and has not been independently verified by Candriam. Except where otherwise indicated herein, the information

presented is based on matters as they exist as of the date of preparation, and will not be updated or otherwise revised to reflect information that subsequently becomes available, or circumstances existing or changes occurring after the date hereof.

Certain information contained herein constitutes forward-looking statements, which can be identified by the use of terms such as “may”, “will”, “should”, “expect”, “anticipate”, “project”, “estimate”, “intend”, “continue”, or “believe” (or the negatives thereof) or other variations thereof. Due to various uncertainties and actual events, including those discussed herein and in the Brochure and the Confidential Documents, actual results or performance of an investment strategy may differ materially from those reflected or contemplated in such forward-looking statements. As a result, investors should not rely on such forward-looking statements in making their investment decisions. Candriam has no duty to update or amend such forward-looking statements.

The strategies discussed in this document have not been recommended or approved by any U.S. Federal or state securities commission or regulatory authority (including the SEC and the CFTC). Furthermore, the foregoing authorities have not passed upon the accuracy or determined the adequacy of this summary. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense. An investment in a fund or an account managed or advised by Candriam is not a deposit and is not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Reserve Board, or any other U.S. federal or state governmental agency.

Please exercise caution in relation to this document. This document is for informational purposes only and may not be reproduced or distributed in any form or for any reason. Additional information is available upon request. Information in this document is subject to change without notice.

Candriam cannot be held liable for any direct or indirect losses as a result of the use of this document. The intellectual property rights of Candriam must be respected at all times and the contents of this document may not be reproduced without Candriam’s prior written approval.

# Candriam US Branch


51 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10010

## Scott Howe

Senior Vice President  
scott.howe@candriam.com  
Tel: +1-646-813-6791

## Shane McMahon

Senior Vice President  
shane.mcmahon@candriam.com  
Tel: +1-646-813-6792



Climate change is a central risk for the financial sector ...the UNEP Principles for Responsible Banking... 'provide the much-needed framework for the sustainable banking system'...



**\$143 B\***

AUM as of  
30 June 2020



**550+**

Experienced and  
committed professionals



**25 years**

Leading the way in  
sustainable investing

\*Assets under supervision includes approximately \$ 124.74 billion which fall within the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's definition of 'regulatory AUM' in Form ADV Part 1A. The remaining \$ 18.57 billion consists of other non-discretionary advisory or related services.



CANDRIAM. INVESTING FOR TOMORROW.  
WWW.CANDRIAM.COM

**CANDRIAM**   
A NEW YORK LIFE INVESTMENTS COMPANY